

## UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trac ark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED: 09/03/93

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE ATTY, DOCKET NO. 08/926,872 09/10/97 SULLIVAN 14 SL02121 EXAMINER MM11/0903 CONALD R. SAHR SHALDING & EVENFLO COMPANIES, INC. 601 S. HARBOUR ISLAND BOULEVARD TAMPA FL 03630 MARLO, G PAPER NUMBER 3711

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	
OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9-10-97	
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	closed in
nortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	will cause
position of Claims	
Claim(s)   - 8 is/are pendir	o in the application
Orani (b)	from consideration.
Chile (a)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s) /- 8	is/are rejected.
Claim(s) is/	are objected to.
Claim(s)are subject to restriction or	election requirement.
olication Papers	
——————————————————————————————————————	disapproved.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	<del>_</del>
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119(a)-(d).	· -
All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been	,
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Automobile Control
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
achment(s)	
Notice of Reference Cited PTC-802	
-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-	
31.326 (Rev. 9/96)	# U.S. GPO: 1998-421-632/403
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on

Application/Control Number: 08/926,872 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81.

No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the diverse critical features now claimed but not apparent to the eye from the drawings must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Well labeled patents, like the patens cited, will increase the efficiency of the Patent Office and enhance computer image searching.

Page 1, the current status of applicant's parent cases must be provided.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 08/926,872 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The scope of the claims is not clear since every critical limitation recited in the claims cannot be found in the lengthyspecification on and on the drawings, which creates as to what features are, critical. For example, in claim 1, line 5, where is "1.1417 inches" mentioned in the specification? Note, also, 37 CFR 1.75(d). The invention is further obscured by failing to illustrate on the drawings every critical feature claimed, thereby making it unnecessarily difficult to compare applicant's claimed invention with the prior art.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nakahara et al (281). Chikaraishi et al (838), Higuchi et al (854), Asakura et al (664). Melvin et al (562) and Yamagishi et al (707)

As understood, applicants are claiming inherent features of the reference golf balls, each reference taken alone. Note, especially the patent to Nakahara et al (281). Any possible distinctions over said golf balls are deemed obvious minor variants thereof, simply to provide comparative examples. The burden is on applicants to show that inherence is not involved.

Application/Control Number: 08/926,872

Page 4

Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to George J. Marlo at telephone number (703) 308-2094.

Marlo/tnt

September 1, 1998

GEORGE J. MARLO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2022

3711